2345

1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7 8

9

11

12

13

6

KLINTON MICHAEL KING,

No. C-12-5140 TEH (PR)

10 Petitioner,

ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

v.

L.S. McEWEN, Warden,

v .

Doc. #3

Respondent.

14 15

16

17

18

19

On October 3, 2012, Petitioner filed this <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> petition for a writ of habeas corpus on October 3, 2012.

Petitioner requests that the Court appoint counsel to assist him in preparing his traverse (Doc. #3).

Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is

20

21

22

23

DENIED. The Sixth Amendment right to counsel does not apply in habeas corpus actions. <u>Knaubert v. Goldsmith</u>, 791 F.2d 722, 728 (9th Cir. 1986). The district court may appoint counsel to represent a habeas petitioner if "the court

2425

determines that the interests of justice so require . . ." 18

26

U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). Unless an evidentiary hearing is required, the decision to appoint counsel is within the

27

28 discretion of the district court. Bashor v. Risley, 730 F.2d

For the Northern District of California

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1228, 1234 (9th Cir.1984); Rule 8(c), 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254. Petitioner has not demonstrated how justice requires appointment of counsel.

Further, at this stage of the proceedings, the Court is not in a position to determine whether an evidentiary hearing will be required. If, during its review of the merits of the petition, the Court determines that further factfinding is required, the Court will decide whether to hold an evidentiary hearing or whether the facts can be gathered by way of mechanisms short of an evidentiary hearing, such as supplementation of the record with sworn declarations from the pertinent witnesses. See Downs v. Hoyt, 232 F.3d 1031, 1041 (9th Cir. 2000).

Therefore, Petitioner's request for counsel is denied. Should the circumstances change at a later stage of the litigation, the Court will reconsider this decision on its own initiative.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED 11/05/2012

> THELTON E. HENDERSON United States District Judge

Hell of anderson

G:\PRO-SE\TEH\HC.12\King 12-5140-deny-counsel.wpd

26 27

28